

S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.3285/2006

Date : 07.08.2006

HON'BLE MR.SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. T.R.S. Sodha for the applicant.

Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, Public Prosecutor.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

The contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that there is no allegation of rape against the present applicant. He submits that in the instant case the prosecutrix in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C, has not stated that accused-applicant was involved in committing rape with her and one Puran was main accused who took the prosecutrix with him and only allegations are against Puran.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application.

I have considered the submissions made before me and carefully gone through the material available on record.

Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I think it just and proper to enlarge the accused applicant on bail.

Accordingly, the bail application filed under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is directed that the applicant Mustaq Ahmed son of Akbar shall be released on bail in FIR No.126/2006 P.S. Gangapur, District Bhilwara, provided he executes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.15,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vijayant